

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Admess COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1430 Alexandria Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/052,744	01/18/2002	John W. Rapp	1934-1-5	3267	
7:	590 03/23/2006		EXAM	INER	
Bryan A. Santarelli			TANG, K	TANG, KAREN C	
GRAYBEAL J	ACKSON HALEY LLP				
155 - 108th Avenue NE, Suite 350			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Bellevue, WA	•		2151		

DATE MAILED: 03/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/052,744	RAPP ET AL.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Karen C. Tang	2151			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 14 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.			
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:</li> </ol>	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	).				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must I	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal (	of the appeal.		
AMENDMENTS					
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);			
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected claims.			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		1: 4	. (DTOL 204)		
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s</li> </ul>		ompliant Amendmen	I (PTOL-324).		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).		, timely filed amendm	nent canceling		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of		
Claim(s) allowed: <i>None</i> . Claim(s) objected to: <i>None</i> .					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-37</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>None</u> . <u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u>			•		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar.  The affidavit or other evidence failed to entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to entered because the affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to e	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
11. A The request for reconsideration has been considered by			ance because:		
<ul><li>12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13.  Other:</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)			
Manaclem					
	ŽAŘNÍN SUPERVISORY PA	MAUNG TENT EXAMINER			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant discloses that the cited art Moyne "5,469,361' failed to disclose configuration information which is corresponding to a manner of processing information associated with the electronical signal and a self configuring application service system that retrieves application service configuration information from the database.

The argument is respectfully traversed, and the rejection is maintained. Moynes taught a system comprised: a database which stored the configuration information (information which determined the routine called) which associated with the electronical signals (Col 5, Lines 55-67 and Col 6, Lines 1-15). Moynes further taught a self configuriong application service system (refer to Col 5, Lines 15-25) that retrieved application service configuration information from the database (information which determine the routine calls that is within the database) To further clarify the position taken, Moynes taught that "control information"/configuration information, is embedded in the structure of database (Col 6, Lines 60-67).